

STATE OF THE SCIENCE MEETING

Youth Transition

David Wittenburg and Gina Livermore

Overview

SSI operates in a fragmented system of supports......A theme associated with long-term impacts in SSA and other demonstrations is they offered opportunities not available in a youth's environment. Image: Second second



Youth receiving SSI face complicated transition

Family view of other supports



SOURCE: https://cristinlind.com/care-mapping/; Reprinted with permission

SSI rules

- Eligibility for reporting
 earnings/assets
- Age 18 redetermination

SSI payment

Represents half of family income



Youth Demonstrations grew over time

STETS and TETD (1980s)

- Target population: Youth with intellectual disabilities
- Size: TETD larger version of STETS (n=745 vs. 467)
- Intervention: Transition employment supports

YTD (2006-2012)

- Target population: 5,103 Youth receiving SSI (age 14 to 25)
- Intervention: Work experiences, waivers, and other services
- Service providers: Mix of private and public providers

PROMISE (2013-2022)

- Target population: 13,444 youth receiving SSI (age 14 to 16) and their families
- Intervention: Family services and youth supports
- Service providers: State agencies led the six programs

PROMISE=Promoting Readiness of Minors in SSI; STETS=Structured Training and Employment Transitional Services Program; TETD=Transitional Employment Training Demonstration; YTD=Youth Transition Demonstration



SSA demonstrations findings: consistent short-term impacts

STETs and TETD

- Supports improve employment
- Promising alternative (competitive employment) to sheltered options

YTD

- Short-term employment impacts
- Service intensity/focus enhance impacts
- Limited long-term effects

PROMISE

- Interagency collaboration can take many forms
- Short-term employment impacts; long-term impacts forthcoming
- Family service provision has potential to improve youth outcomes

PROMISE=Promoting Readiness of Minors in SSI; STETS=Structured Training and Employment Transitional Services Program; TETD=Transitional Employment Training Demonstration; YTD=Youth Transition Demonstration



Other service models hold promise for youth with disabilities

Service models for other populations

- Residential and sectoral training models
 for other youth populations
- Employer models for youth with disabilities
- Population-specific approaches for adults
- International evidence

Key Findings

- Long-term impacts: Residential and job sectoral models (Job Corps and Year Up)
- Intensive supports: stronger outcomes







Policy Lessons





7





Design: Youth service needs differ from those of adults



Recruitment: Local staff and program incentives enhance enrollment



Short-term outcomes:

Intensive service models generate larger impacts



Long-term outcomes: : Longterm impacts through expansion in training and employment opportunities that address systemic gaps





STATE OF THE SCIENCE MEETING

Translating research to policy



SSA Programs: Benefits counseling focus on youth and families



Other agencies: Informed Work Innovation Opportunity Act implementation and policy proposals

WIPA= Work Incentive Planning and Assistance



STATE OF THE SCIENCE MEETING









Future demonstration considerations



Build from existing resources

- Referrals to models with strong evidence (e.g., Year-up)
- Expand SSA data usage with other public and private agencies



- Improve family outcomes
- Enhance understanding of diversity, equity and inclusion



STATE OF THE SCIENCE MEETING

Program and service considerations



Waiver-only demonstrations of SSI program rules that affect youth outcomes: earnings, continuing disability reviews, and expanded age 18 redetermination (age 22)



Benefits counseling: Test <u>pro</u>active services



Outreach notices: Testing shortening/alternatives to current 20 page annual notices



Summary of findings



Lessons

Short-term impacts: CommonLong-term impacts: Less frequentPromising models: Year Up and Job Corps



Learning

Data: Leverage SSA data to support innovationInterventions: Referrals to promising programsProgram rules: Waiver-only demonstrations tests

STATE OF THE SCIENCE MEETING







Discussion of Wittenburg and Livermore

Discussant: Manasi Deshpande, University of Chicago



















Potential models of youth transition

- 1. SSI well-targeted on front end, so youth have no or little work capacity at 18
 - Focus on providing sufficient income
- 2. Youth have work capacity but must demonstrate disability to stay on SSI
 - Focus on encouraging work
- 3. Youth physically capable of work but lack skills
 - Focus on building skills



1) Youth have little/no work capacity

- Focus on providing sufficient income
- Suggests keeping more youth on SSI (disability or poverty?), strict income phase-outs
- Demo ideas
 - Change age 18 redetermination criteria to emphasize vocational factors (i.e., higher bar for SGA)



2) Youth can work but try to stay on

- Focus on encouraging work
- Suggests reducing explicit and implicit work penalties or subsidizing work; stronger safety net outside of SSI
- Demo ideas
 - Raise SGA or include skills in assessment of SGA capacity
 - Cut SSI benefits or turn some of it into work supports
 - Natural experiments: expanded CTC/Medicaid expansions



3) Youth phys capable but lack skills

- Focus on building skills early to encourage work later
- Suggests much earlier intervention that previous demos
- Demo ideas
 - Eliminate child CDRs to encourage skills
 - Sponsor skill-building programs (literacy, intensive tutoring)
 - Provide info to families for realistic expectations of SSI receipt



Which model is correct?

- Heterogeneity in outcomes (Deshpande 2016)
 - Vast majority of youth who are removed because deemed capable of SGA don't come close to SGA
 - Suggests Model (1) or (3)
 - About 10% do earn at SGA
 - Suggests Model (2)
 - But hard to predict who they will be based on SSA characteristics









Comments on Youth Transition

Discussant: Lucie Schmidt, Williams College And Nber



Summary

- Transition to adulthood is a difficult time for children on SSI and their families
 - For youth => employment and educational outcomes
 - For families => potential loss of a large share of income
- SSA demonstrations aimed at this group
- Wittenburg & Livermore provide a great overview of the issues and the lessons learned from the demonstrations



Primary Lessons Learned

- Easier to increase employment than to generate sustained increases in income or reductions in benefit receipt
- Services and supports are important
 - More intensive services of longer duration have larger effects
- Children on SSI have different service/support needs
 than adults



Low-Income Families in the US

- Significant challenges/hardships faced by these families in daily life
 - Scarcity affects cognitive function (Mani et al. 2013)
 - More waiting/longer waiting times (Holt & Vinopal 2020)
 - Administrative burden (Herd & Moynihan 2018)
 - Employment not necessarily a cure
- Issues compounded for low-income families with children with significant physical or mental disabilities



Low-Income Families in the US

- To what extent should SSA be trying to reduce these burdens for families of child recipients?
- To what extent is the success of SSI youth demonstrations dependent on the ability to do so?



Goals for Children on SSI?

- What would a successful transition to adulthood look like in terms of education, employment, and/or earnings?
- Are there other outcomes that we should be targeting? (Cognitive/noncognitive skill development? Parental investments?)
 - What is the optimal timing of interventions?
 - Focus on recipient vs focus on family?









S

{O}



lschmidt@williams.edu | @LucieGSchmidt







Discussant: Jennifer Sheehy, U.S. Department of Labor

STATE OF THE SCIENCE MEETING



Key Takeaways from Research

Research presented suggests the following strategies:

- Place a strong emphasis on employment services. Ex: Skill assessments, career aspirations, educational goals, on-the-job training, post-employment services and follow-ups.
- Provide customized supports for youths.

Ex: Health care needs, reasonable accommodations, employment supports, and community and governmental transition supports.

• Focus on providing services to the entire family unit.

Ex: Case management to youth and their family members; benefits counseling; financial education; career training for youth; parental training on available supports for their youth; career resources for parents.



Key Challenges and Opportunities

- Systems are fragmented, making it difficult to ensure youth have access to everything they need to be successful.
- Some families had difficulty accessing all the services offered under past demonstrations.
- How do we structure programs and systems to ensure all families and youth have access to promising practices?



America's Recovery: Powered by Inclusion

- Increasing employment is critical as we recover from the pandemic to avoid simply returning to the status quo, which failed many young people with disabilities.
- Have a unique opportunity to build upon what works to ensure systems are more inclusive and support all youth with disabilities.



ODEP's SSI Youth Solution Projects

ODEP's **SSI Youth Solution** effort is developing knowledge by:

- Engaging SMEs to develop 12 novel policy, program, or service solutions to improve employment outcomes for YWDs who apply for or receive SSI
- Assessing proposals regarding likely effectiveness and estimated cost for demonstration projects.



Implications and Opportunities for Future SSI Youth Efforts

- Opportunity to develop solutions that can have a meaningful impact on youth and families on SSI through research, demonstrations, and new federal efforts.
- DOL can contribute to this interagency effort.
- Is part of Administration's commitment to making our country more equitable and inclusive.













Thank you!

Jennifer Sheehy

Sheehy.Jennifer.C@dol.gov

Lessons Learned from SSA Demonstrations: A State of the Science Meeting



We are on a break. Content will resume shortly.

